derly ſituated, *That there might be no ſchiſm in the body; but that the members ſhould have the ſame care one of another*, &c. In ſhort, the union I am ſpeaking of, in a Society of underſtanding and reaſonable creatures, implies, an union in deſign for the publick good, an union in underſtanding the true means of promoting it; an union in carrying on this deſign in the uſe of proper means; and laſtly, an union of affection and good-will towards each other, for the facilitating theſe their endeavours. And happy is that Family, that City, that Kingdom, which are thus united.

II. I proceed to enquire in the next place, What diviſions they are, which are deſtructive of the union I have been deſcribeing, and which conſequently threaten the deſtruction of the Society.

It is not every difference among the members of humane Society, that immediately threatens or ends in its ruine. If ſo, conſidering the preſent ſtate of humane nature, no Society could hope to ſubſiſt long, the differences of mens thoughts